perhaps easy to say that it should not be done or it is essential and should be done. But I do not think any hasty conclusion, one way or the other, is likely to be either wise or useful. The same might be said of your statements about the superior officers. You complain that Judges of the High Court interfere with the actions of the officers of lower courts. It is not in this department alone that we have appeals and revision petitions against orders passed by subordinate authorities in respect of administrative or extra-judicial matters. I do not think that the abolition of appeal or revision petitions in this department would be welcomed by the people who are likely to suffer by that. The other points you have raised are noted.

29. Matters relating to Jenmies and Kudiyans.

MR. CHINGAN NARAYANAN BHATTATIRI (*Jenmies, Quilon Division*) speaking in Malayalam, first requested the Dewan to convey to Her Highness the Maha Rani Regent the feelings of gratitude of his community for the enactment of the Malayala Brahmin Regulation.

Passing on to his subject, he said that Government should see that the Jenmi-Kudiyan Amendment Bill, introduced in the Council by the Government and now under the consideration of a select committee, was beneficial both to the *Jenmies* and the *Kudiyans*. The enactments of 1042 and 1071 were unfavourable to the *Jenmies* and subjected them to great loss and inconveniences. The Government should see that similar hardships were not caused to them by the present legislation also. In conclusion, the member requested that the matter should be expedited and the Bill passed into law at an early date.

DEWAN'S RPELY: മലയാളബ്രാഹ്മണ റഗുലേഷൻ നടപ്പാക്കിയതിനേപ്പറ്റി മഹാറാണിറീജൻറു തിരുമനസ്സിലേക്ക് നിങ്ങളുടെ സമുദായത്തിനുള്ള കൃതജ്ഞതയെ നിങ്ങൾ പ്രദർശിപ്പിച്ചതു് സന്തോഷപൂർവ്വം തിരുമനസ്സറിയിക്കാം. വളരെനാളായി, ഇങ്ങിനെ ഒരു റഗുലേഷൻ ഉണ്ടായാൽകൊള്ളാമെന്ന് നിങ്ങളുടെ സമുദയത്തിലുള്ള ഒട്ടുവളരെ ആളുകൾക്ക് ആഗ്രഹം ഉണ്ടായിരുന്നു. അതു് ഇപ്പോൾ നിറവേറുകയും ചെയ്തു. ഈ റഗുലേഷൻ കൊണ്ടു്, എത്രയൊ പഴക്കമുള്ളതും കേരളത്തിൽ പ്രാധാന്യമുള്ളതുമായ നിങ്ങളുടെ സമുദായത്തിന്നു് മേല്ക്കുമേൽ അഭിവൃദ്ധിയുണ്ടാകുമെന്നു് നമുക്കാശിക്കാം.

പിന്നീടു് ജന്മികുടിയാൻ റഗുലേഷനെപ്പറ്റി നിങ്ങൾ പറഞ്ഞു. അതിലേക്ക് ഒരു ബിൽ തയ്യാറാക്കി, ഇപ്പോൾ അതു് സിലക്ടു കമ്മിറ്റിയുടെവിചാരണയിൽ ഇരിക്കുകയാണു്. ആ ബിൽ നിയമസഭയിൽവന്നു് വാദപ്രതിവാദം നടത്തുമ്പോൾ ഈ കാര്യങ്ങളെല്ലാം നിങ്ങളുടെ പ്രതിനിധി മുഖാന്തിരം അവിടെ വാദിക്കാം.

[I shall gladly convey to Her Highness the Maha Rani Regent the feelings of gratitude expressed by you on behalf of your community for the enactment of the Malayala Brahmin Regulation. We may hope that the Regulation will serve the progress of the ancient and important community to which you belong. The Jenmi-Kudiyan Bill, referred to by you, is under examination by a Select Committee of the Legislative Council. The points mentioned by you can be urged by your representative when the Bill is next considered in the Council.]

30. Grievances of Namputiri Jenmies.

MR. VASUDEVAN DAMODARAN NAMPUTIRI (*Jenmies, Kottayam Division*) speaking in Malayalam, dealt with the grievances of Namputiries and Namputiri *Jenmies* on account of certain laws already promulgated and proposed for enactment, as well as on account of certain court decisions. The member referred to the following instances:—

1. Regulation V of 1071 had separated the Namputiri *Jenmies* into a distinct class.

2. When the *Jenmam* right was sold, the purchaser did not get as much right as the original *Jenmi*, though he had to give enhanced tax for the same.

3. Neither the Government nor the Legislature was able to promulgate a law for affording facilities for the realisation of *jenmam* dues, as contemplated by the Proclamation of 1041.

4. Government had accepted the contention in a case relating to *jenmibhogam* at Vaikam that one *para* of paddy was worth only one *puthan*. The courts had also adopted this decision as correct.

5. The law only required that in cases of alienation of *kanam* lands by Namputiries, *jenmam* land should not be decreed for redemption. But decrees for redemption were not given in the case of all *kanam* lands.

6. Some courts did not accept the evidence given by *Jenmies*.

7. The provisions of the Regulation, the precedents and the rights of *Jenmies* were overlooked in cases relating to the acquisition of lands of *Jenmies* by the Government.

8. Decisions which were unfavourable to the *Jenmies*, though *ex-parte*, were reported, whereas favourable decisions were not included in the Law Reports. This adversely affected the *Jenmies* while it was beneficial to the *Kudiyans*.

DEWAN'S REPLY: മിസ്റ്റർ ഭട്ടതിരിപ്പാട്ട പറഞ്ഞതിൽ നിന്നും കൂടുതലായി നിങ്ങൾ പറഞ്ഞതു് ഏതാനും ചില ഹൈക്കോടതി വിധികൾ നിമിത്തം ജന്മവസ്തു ഉടമസ്ഥന്മാരായ ജന്മിമാക്ക് ചില സങ്കടങ്ങൾ ഉണ്ടെന്നാണ്. ഈ സംഗതികളെ ഒക്കെ തീർച്ചപ്പെടുത്തി മു